Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/717,484	SCHMIDT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christina Johnson	1725
All Participants:	Status of Application	:
(1) <u>Christina Johnson</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephen Soffen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 12 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.	•	
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepdirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepdid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
-		
<del></del>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 12 and the Abstract were amended, placing the application in condition for allowance. The amendments were proposed to correct improper multiple dependencies and to shorten the Abstract to one paragraph in length. Applicant's representative, agreed to the changes, to be made by examiner's amendment. A copy of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached examiner's amendment..